

Message

From: Joe Fanjoy [Joe.Fanjoy@erg.com]
Sent: 10/7/2020 8:09:30 PM
To: Calli, Rosemary [Calli.Rosemary@epa.gov]
CC: Laycock, Kelly [Laycock.Kelly@epa.gov]; Long, Mike [Long.Mike@epa.gov]; Joe Fanjoy [Joe.Fanjoy@erg.com]
Subject: RE: sub-categories for NHPA comment binning
Attachments: 62-331.010 (4).doc

Hi Rosemary, good timing, I was just reviewing our list. I've added these subcategories, no problem.

On one of our early calls, someone had mentioned public comments on a Notice of Proposed Rule. I think it was the attached notice.

Were there public comments on that? If so, would they be a useful reference?

Thanks, Joe



From: Calli, Rosemary <Calli.Rosemary@epa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, October 7, 2020 3:40 PM
To: Joe Fanjoy <Joe.Fanjoy@erg.com>
Cc: Laycock, Kelly <Laycock.Kelly@epa.gov>; Long, Mike <Long.Mike@epa.gov>
Subject: sub-categories for NHPA comment binning

Hi, Joe – Our colleagues focused on NHPA have identified a few potential sub-categories. Could you add the following breakout to category #8 for the assumption comment binning, please? Also, we should call it simply “NHPA”, not “NHPA consultation.”

- a. Operating Agreement
- b. Programmatic Agreement
- c. Comments from Indian tribes
- d. Historic sites: Tribal
- e. Historic sites: Non-tribal

Thank you,
Rosemary

Rosemary (Hali) Calli
Section Chief, Wetlands & Streams Regulatory Section
Aquatic Ecotoxicologist
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IV

404.562.9846
Calli.Rosemary@epa.gov